arXiv:1505.02455v4 [math.CO] 31 Oct 2015

ASSOCIATION SCHEMES IN WHICH THE THIN RESIDUE IS AN ELEMENTARY ABELIAN p-GROUP OF RANK 2

MITSUGU HIRASAKA AND KIJUNG KIM

Abstract. In this article, we investigate the existence and schurity problem of association schemes whose thin residues are isomorphic to an elementary abelian p-group of rank 2.

Key words: association scheme; schurian; thin residue; linear space.

1. Introduction

Let G be a transitive permutation group on a finite set X. We denote by $\mathcal{R}_G$ the set of orbits of the induced action of G on $X \times X$. It is well known that $\mathcal{R}_G$ satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $\{(x,x) \mid x \in X\} \in \mathcal{R}_G$;
(ii) For each $s \in \mathcal{R}_G$, $s^* := \{(x,y) \mid (y,x) \in s\} \in \mathcal{R}_G$;
(iii) For all $s,t,u \in \mathcal{R}_G$ and $x,y \in X$, $|xs \cap yt^*|$ is constant whenever $(x,y) \in u$, where $xs := \{y \in X \mid (x,y) \in s\}$.

The following definition of an association scheme generalizes the above observations on the orbitals of a transitive permutation group. Let X be a non-empty set, and let S be a partition of $X \times X$. The set S is called an *association scheme* (or shortly a *scheme*) on X if it satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $1_X := \{(x,x) \mid x \in X\} \in S$;
(ii) For each $s \in S$, $s^* := \{(x,y) \mid (y,x) \in s\} \in S$;
(iii) For all $s,t,u \in S$ and $x,y \in X$, $c_{st}^u := |xs \cap yt^*|$ is constant whenever $(x,y) \in u$, where $xs := \{y \in X \mid (x,y) \in s\}$.

For each element s in S, we set $n_s := c_{ss^*}^{1_X}$ and call this (positive) integer the *valency* of s. For a subset U of S, put $n_U = \sum_{u \in U} n_u$. We call n_S the *order* of S.

We say that an association scheme S is *schurian* if $S = \mathcal{R}_G$ for a transitive permutation group G on X.

Let X be a set, and let S be an association scheme in the above sense. A subset T of S is called *closed* if $\bigcup_{t \in T} t$ is an equivalence relation on X. For a closed subset T of S, we define $X/T := \{xT \mid x \in X\}$, called the set of *cosets* of T in X, and $S//T := \{s^T \mid s \in S\}$, where $xT := \bigcup_{t \in T} xt$ and $s^T := \{(xT, yT) \mid y \in xTsT\}$. Then $S//T$ is an association scheme on X/T (see [10, Theorem 4.1.3]). We call $S//T$ the *factor* scheme of S over T.

Date: November 3, 2015.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05E15; 05E30.
[1]Corresponding author: Kijung Kim
[2]The first author's research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (2013R1A1A2012532). The second author's research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (2013R1A1A2005349).

If G acts regularly on X, then $\mathcal{R}_G$ is an association scheme on X such that $\mathcal{R}_G$ is a group under the relational product. We call such an association scheme *thin*.

Let N be a normal subgroup of G which contains a point stabilizer G_x. Then $(G/N, \mathcal{R}_G)$ is a thin association scheme, where $\mathcal{R}_G$ is the set of the orbitals of G acting on the right cosets G/N by the right multiplication. An analogy of this situation gives the following concept. For a given association scheme S, it is known that there exists the smallest closed subset $\mathbf{O}^\theta(S)$ such that $S//\mathbf{O}^\theta(S)$ is thin (see [9]). We call $\mathbf{O}^\theta(S)$ the *thin residue* of S.

The structure of the thin residue has played an important role in the schurity problem. It is known that association schemes are schurian if their thin residues are finite groups under the relational product and have a distributive normal subgroup lattice (see [8]). However, in the case that their thin residues are elementary abelian groups of rank ≥ 2, they do not have a distributive normal subgroup lattice. So, one might be curious what can be said in this case. In this article, we consider the schurity problem of association schemes whose thin residues are elementary abelian groups of rank 2.

For a closed subset T of S, n_S/n_T is called the *index* of T in S. The following result is given in [1, Corollary 2.8]. Let S be an association scheme such that

$$\mathbf{O}^\theta(S) \simeq C_p \times C_p \text{ and} \tag{1}$$

$$\{n_s \mid s \in S \setminus \mathbf{O}^\theta(S)\} = \{p\}, \tag{2}$$

where p is a prime number. Then $n_S/n_{\mathbf{O}^\theta(S)} \leq p^2 + p + 1$. We denote $n_S/n_{\mathbf{O}^\theta(S)}$ by $\delta(S)$. In this article, we investigate the existence of such association schemes under certain extra conditions which we impose on $\delta(S)$.

Now we state main results with respect to $\delta(S)$.

- When $\delta(S) \leq 2$, there do not exist association schemes such that (1) and (2) hold (see Proposition 3.1).
- When $3 \leq \delta(S) \leq p+2$, we give a construction of association schemes such that (1) and (2) hold (see Theorem 3.2).
- When $\delta(S) = 3$, there exists a unique association scheme such that (1) and (2) hold, up to isomorphism (see Theorem 3.3).
- When $4 \leq \delta(S) \leq p + 2$, there exists a non-schurian association scheme S such that (1), (2) and (7) hold (see Theorem 3.6).
- When $\delta(S) = p + 2$ is an odd prime, every association scheme S satisfying (1), (2) and (7) is non-schurian (see Theorem 3.4).
- When $\delta(S) = p^2$ or $\delta(S) = p^2 + p + 1$, there exists a schurian association scheme such that (1) and (2) hold (see Section 4).

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prepare some terminology and notations. In Section 3–4, we prove main results. In Section 5, we give concluding remarks.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we review some notations and known facts about association schemes. Throughout this article, we use the notation given in [10].

2.1. **Association schemes.** Let S be an association scheme on X. We denote by $r(x, y)$ the element of S containing $(x, y) \in X \times X$.

Let P and Q be nonempty subsets of S. We define PQ to be the set of all elements $s \in S$ such that there exist elements $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$ with $c_{pq}^s \neq 0$. The

set PQ is called the *complex product* of P and Q. If one of the factors in a complex product consists of a single element s, then one usually writes s for $\{s\}$.

A closed subset T is called *thin* if all elements of T have valency 1. The set $\{s \mid n_s = 1\}$ is called the *thin radical* of S and denoted by $\mathbf{O}_\theta(S)$. Note that T is thin if and only if T is a group under the relational product.

A closed subset T of S is called *strongly normal* in S, denoted by $T \lhd^\sharp S$, if $s^*Ts \subseteq T$ for every $s \in S$. We put $\mathbf{O}^\theta(S) := \bigcap_{T \lhd^\sharp S} T$ and call it the *thin residue* of S. It follows from [9, Theorem 2.3.1] that

$$\mathbf{O}^\theta(S) = \langle \bigcup_{s \in S} s^* s \rangle. \tag{3}$$

Let S_1 be an association scheme on X_1. A bijective map ϕ from $X \cup S$ to $X_1 \cup S_1$ is called an *isomorphism* if it satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $\phi(X) \subseteq X_1$ and $\phi(S) \subseteq S_1$;
(ii) For all $x, y \in X$ and $s \in S$ with $(x, y) \in s$, $(\phi(x), \phi(y)) \in \phi(s)$.

An isomorphism ϕ from $X \cup S$ to $X \cup S$ is called an *automorphism* of S if $\phi(s) = s$ for all $s \in S$. We denote by $\mathrm{Aut}(S)$ the automorphism group of S.

On the other hand, we say that two association schemes S and S_1 are *algebraically isomorphic* or have the *same intersection numbers* if there exists a bijection ι from S to S_1 such that $c_{rs}^t = c_{\iota(r)\iota(s)}^{\iota(t)}$ for all $r, s, t \in S$.

2.2. **Extensions of thin schemes by thin schemes.** Let G be a permutation group acting regularly on a finite set X. Let H be a normal subgroup of G. We set $\tilde{g} = \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid x^g = y\}$. We denote by $\widetilde{G}$ the set $\{\tilde{g} \mid g \in G\}$. Note that $\widetilde{G}$ is an association scheme on X.

For a binary relation $t \subseteq X \times X$, we define the adjacency matrix A_t whose columns and rows are indexed by the elements of X as follows:

$$(A_t)_{xy} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (x, y) \in t; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that the condition (iii) in the second paragraph of Section 1 is equivalent to $A_s A_t = \sum_{u \in S} c_{st}^u A_u$.

For $r, s \subseteq X \times X$, we set $r \cdot s = \{(\alpha, \gamma) \mid (\alpha, \beta) \in r, (\beta, \gamma) \in s \text{ for some } \beta \in X\}$.

Fix $x \in X$. For $\sigma \in (G/H) \setminus \{1_{G/H}\}$, we take $t_\sigma \subseteq \bigcup_{\tau \in G/H} x^{H\tau} \times x^{H\sigma\tau}$.

In order to define an association scheme whose relation set contains $\{\tilde{h} \mid h \in H\} \cup \{t_\sigma \mid \sigma \in (G/H) \setminus \{1_{G/H}\}\}$, we consider the following conditions:

$$\{\tilde{h} \cdot t_\sigma \mid h \in H\} = \{t_\sigma \cdot \tilde{h} \mid h \in H\} \text{ is a partition of } \bigcup_{\tau \in G/H} x^{H\tau} \times x^{H\sigma\tau}; \tag{4}$$

$$t_\sigma^* = t_{\sigma^{-1}}; \tag{5}$$

$$A_{t_\sigma} A_{t_\tau} = \begin{cases} |K_\sigma| \sum_{s \in K_\sigma} A_s & \text{if } \sigma\tau = 1_{G/H}; \\ n_{\sigma,\tau} \sum_{s \in \{t_{\sigma\tau} \cdot \tilde{h} \mid h \in H\}} A_s & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

where $K_\sigma := \{\tilde{h} \in \widetilde{H} \mid \tilde{h} \cdot t_\sigma = t_\sigma\}$ and $n_{\sigma,\tau}$ is a positive integer depending on σ and τ. It follows from (4) that for each $\tilde{h} \cdot t_\sigma$, there exists $h_1 \in H$ such that $\tilde{h} \cdot t_\sigma = t_\sigma \cdot \tilde{h_1}$.

Proposition 2.1. *For $\sigma \in (G/H) \setminus \{1_{G/H}\}$, let t_σ be a subset of $\bigcup_{\tau \in G/H} x^{H\tau} \times x^{H\sigma\tau}$ satisfying (4), (5) and (6). Put $S = \{\tilde{h} \cdot t_\sigma \mid h \in H, \sigma \in G/H\}$, where $t_{1_{G/H}} = 1_X$. Then S is an association scheme on X.*

Proof. It follows from (4) that S is a partition of $X \times X$. Clearly, $1_X \in S$ and $\tilde{h}^* \in S$ for each $\tilde{h} \in \widetilde{H}$.

For each $\tilde{h} \cdot t_\sigma \in S \setminus \widetilde{H}$, we verify that $(\tilde{h} \cdot t_\sigma)^* \in S$. It suffices to check $(\tilde{h} \cdot t_\sigma)^* = t_{\sigma^{-1}} \cdot \tilde{h}^{-1}$ by (4). First of all, we show $(\tilde{h} \cdot t_\sigma)^* \subseteq t_{\sigma^{-1}} \cdot \tilde{h}^{-1}$. Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in (\tilde{h} \cdot t_\sigma)^*$. Then

$$(\beta, \gamma) \in \tilde{h} \text{ and } (\gamma, \alpha) \in t_\sigma$$

for some $\gamma \in X$. If follows from definition and (5) that $(\gamma, \beta) \in \tilde{h}^{-1}$ and $(\alpha, \gamma) \in t_\sigma^* = t_{\sigma^{-1}}$. So, $(\alpha, \beta) \in t_{\sigma^{-1}} \cdot \tilde{h}^{-1}$. Thus, we have

$$(\tilde{h} \cdot t_\sigma)^* \subseteq t_{\sigma^{-1}} \cdot \tilde{h}^{-1}.$$

Since $|(\tilde{h} \cdot t_\sigma)^*| = |t_{\sigma^{-1}} \cdot \tilde{h}^{-1}|$, we have $(\tilde{h} \cdot t_\sigma)^* = t_{\sigma^{-1}} \cdot \tilde{h}^{-1}$ and hence $(\tilde{h} \cdot t_\sigma)^* \in S$.

Finally, we show that

$$A_{\tilde{h} \cdot t_\sigma} A_{\tilde{g} \cdot t_\tau} = \sum_{s \in S} c^s_{\tilde{h} \cdot t_\sigma \tilde{g} \cdot t_\tau} A_s$$

for $\tilde{h} \cdot t_\sigma, \tilde{g} \cdot t_\tau \in S$. It follows from (4) that $(\tilde{h} \cdot t_\sigma) \cdot (\tilde{g} \cdot t_\tau) = (\tilde{h} \cdot t_\sigma) \cdot (t_\tau \cdot \tilde{g}_1)$ for some $g_1 \in H$. Since $A_{\tilde{h} \cdot t_\sigma} = A_{\tilde{h}} A_{t_\sigma}$ and $A_{t_\tau \cdot \tilde{g}_1} = A_{t_\tau} A_{\tilde{g}_1}$, we compute $A_{\tilde{h}} (A_{t_\sigma} A_{t_\tau}) A_{\tilde{g}_1}$ by (6).

If $\sigma\tau = 1_{G/H}$, then

$$|K_\sigma| \sum_{s \in K_\sigma} A_{\tilde{h}} A_s A_{\tilde{g}_1} = |K_\sigma| \sum_{s \in K_\sigma} A_{\tilde{h} s \tilde{g}_1}.$$

If $\sigma\tau \neq 1_{G/H}$, then

$$n_{\sigma,\tau} \sum_{s \in \{t_{\sigma\tau} \cdot \tilde{h}_1 | h \in H\}} A_{\tilde{h}_1} A_s A_{\tilde{g}_1} = n_{\sigma,\tau} \sum_{s \in \{\tilde{h} \cdot t_{\sigma\tau} \cdot \tilde{h}_1 \cdot \tilde{g}_1 | h_1 \in H\}} A_s.$$

This completes the proof. □

3. The case of $3 \leq \delta(S) \leq p + 2$

First of all, we show that there do not exist association schemes such that (1) and (2) hold and $\delta(S) \leq 2$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let S be an association scheme on X such that* (1) *and* (2) *hold. Then $\delta(S) \geq 3$.*

Proof. If $\delta(S) = 1$, then $S = \mathbf{O}^\theta(S)$. By (1), S is a group. By the definition of $\mathbf{O}^\theta(S)$, we have $\mathbf{O}^\theta(S) = \{1_X\}$. This contradicts (1).

Suppose $\delta(S) = 2$. Then we have

$$S = \mathbf{O}^\theta(S) \cup s_0 \mathbf{O}^\theta(S)$$

for some $s_0 \in S \setminus \mathbf{O}^\theta(S)$. Since $\mathbf{O}^\theta(S)$ is thin, every element of $S \setminus \mathbf{O}^\theta(S)$ has a form $s_0 h$ for some $h \in \mathbf{O}^\theta(S)$. So,

$$s_0 s_0^* = s s^*$$

for each $s \in S \setminus \mathbf{O}^\theta(S)$. By (3), we have $\mathbf{O}^\theta(S) = s_0 s_0^*$. Note that $|s s^*| = n_s$ (see [4, Lemma 4.2]).

The equation (1) implies that every element of $S \setminus \mathbf{O}^\theta(S)$ has the valency p^2. This contradicts (2). Therefore, we have $\delta(S) \geq 3$. □

In this section, we construct an association scheme S such that (1) and (2) hold and

$$s_1 s_1^* \neq s_2 s_2^* \text{ for } s_1, s_2 \in S \setminus \mathbf{O}^\theta(S) \text{ with } s_1\mathbf{O}^\theta(S) \neq s_2\mathbf{O}^\theta(S). \tag{7}$$

From now on, we denote by $\mathbb{F}_p$ and G_δ the finite field with p elements and a finite group of order $\delta(S)$, respectively.

Set

$$V := \mathbb{F}_p^2,\ X := V \times G_\delta \text{ and } G_\delta^\times := G_\delta \setminus \{1\}, \tag{8}$$

where p is prime and $\delta \leq p+2$. We denote by u_a an element (u, a) of X for short. We denote by $P(V)$ the set of $p+1$ subgroups of V with order p. We take an injective mapping L from $G_\delta^\times$ to $P(V)$ by

$$a \mapsto L_a. \tag{9}$$

We denote the image of L by $\{L_a \mid a \in G_\delta^\times\}$. Depending on $\{L_a \mid a \in G_\delta^\times\}$, we take a mapping C from $G_\delta^\times$ to $P(V)$ by

$$a \mapsto C_a \tag{10}$$

such that $L_a \neq C_a = C_{a^{-1}}$ for $a \in G_\delta^\times$.

By (9) and (10), we choose two sets $\{L_a \mid a \in G_\delta^\times\}$ and $\{C_a \mid a \in G_\delta^\times\}$. We will construct an association scheme such that (1), (2) and (7) hold.

Define binary relations on X as follows:

$$h_w = \{(u_a, v_a) \mid u - v = w, a \in G_\delta\} \text{ for each } w \in V; \tag{11}$$

(12)

$$t_a = \left\{ (u_b, v_c) \mid b^{-1}c = a, (u, v) \in \bigcup_{x \in C_a} (L_a + x) \times (L_{a^{-1}} + x) \right\} \text{ for each } a \in G_\delta^\times;$$

$$t_1 = 1_X. \tag{13}$$

Note that $h_{w_1} \cdot t_a = t_a = t_a \cdot h_{w_2}$ for $w_1 \in L_a, w_2 \in L_{a^{-1}}$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\{L_a \mid a \in G_\delta^\times\}$ and $\{C_a \mid a \in G_\delta^\times\}$ be two sets given in* (9) *and* (10)*. For $w \in V, a \in G_\delta$, let h_w, t_a be binary relations given in* (11)*,* (12) *and* (13)*. Put $S = \{t_a \cdot h_w \mid w \in V, a \in G_\delta\}$. Then S is an association scheme on X.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.1, it suffices to check (4), (5) and (6).

Claim 1: For each $a \in G_\delta^\times$, $\left\{(u, v) + \bigcup_{x \in C_a} (L_a + x) \times (L_{a^{-1}} + x) \mid u, v \in V\right\}$ is a partition of $V \times V$.

Since $L_a + C_a = V = L_{a^{-1}} + C_a$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (u, v) + \bigcup_{x \in C_a} (L_a + x) \times (L_{a^{-1}} + x) &= (u, 0) + (0, v) + \bigcup_{x \in C_a} (L_a + x) \times (L_{a^{-1}} + x) \\ &= \bigcup_{x \in C_a} (L_a + x + y_1) \times (L_{a^{-1}} + x + y_2) \end{aligned}$$

for some $y_1, y_2 \in C_a$.

Assume

$$\bigcup_{x \in C_a} (L_a + x + y_1) \times (L_{a^{-1}} + x + y_2) \cap \bigcup_{x \in C_a} (L_a + x + y_1') \times (L_{a^{-1}} + x + y_2') \neq \emptyset.$$

Then $l_1 + x + y_1 = l_1' + x + y_1'$ and $l_2 + x + y_2 = l_2' + x + y_2'$ for some $l_1, l_1' \in L_a, l_2, l_2' \in L_{a^{-1}}$. Since $l_1 - l_1' = y_1' - y_1 = 0$ and $l_2 - l_2' = y_2' = y_2 = 0$, we have $y_1 = y_1'$ and $y_2 = y_2'$. This completes the proof of Claim 1.

Claim 2: S is a partition of $X \times X$.

It is easy to see that $\{t_1 \cdot h_w \mid w \in V\}$ is a partition of $\bigcup_{a \in G_\delta}(V \times \{a\}) \times (V \times \{a\})$. It suffices to verify that $\{t_a \cdot h_w \mid w \in V, a \in G_\delta^\times\}$ is a partition of

$$(X \times X) \setminus \bigcup_{a \in G_\delta} (V \times \{a\}) \times (V \times \{a\}).$$

Since

$$t_a = \bigcup_{b^{-1}c=a} \left\{ (u_b, v_c) \mid (u, v) \in \bigcup_{x \in C_a} (L_a + x) \times (L_{a^{-1}} + x) \right\},$$

it follows from Claim 1 that $\{t_a \cdot h_w \mid w \in V\}$ is a partition of

$$\{(u_b, v_c) \mid u, v \in V, b^{-1}c = a\},$$

for each $a \in G_\delta^\times$. This implies that S is a partition of $X \times X$.

Claim 3: $\{t_a \cdot h_w \mid w \in V\} = \{h_w \cdot t_a \mid w \in V\}$ for each $a \in G_\delta^\times$.

Put $w = w' + w'' \in L_{a^{-1}} + C_a$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
t_a \cdot h_w &= \left\{ (u_b, v_c) \mid b^{-1}c = a, (u, v) \in \bigcup_{x \in C_a} (L_a + x) \times (L_{a^{-1}} + x) \right\} \cdot h_w \\
&= \left\{ (u_b, v_c - w_c) \mid b^{-1}c = a, (u, v) \in \bigcup_{x \in C_a} (L_a + x) \times (L_{a^{-1}} + x) \right\} \\
&= \left\{ (u_b, z_c) \mid b^{-1}c = a, (u, z) \in \bigcup_{x \in C_a} (L_a + x) \times (L_{a^{-1}} + x - w) \right\} \\
&= \left\{ (u_b, z_c) \mid b^{-1}c = a, (u, z) \in \bigcup_{x \in C_a} (L_a + x) \times (L_{a^{-1}} + x - w'') \right\} \\
&= \left\{ (u_b, z_c) \mid b^{-1}c = a, (u, z) \in \bigcup_{x \in C_a} (L_a + w'' + x - w'') \times (L_{a^{-1}} + x - w'') \right\} \\
&= \left\{ (v_b + w''_b, z_c) \mid b^{-1}c = a, (v, z) \in \bigcup_{x \in C_a} (L_a + x - w'') \times (L_{a^{-1}} + x - w'') \right\} \\
&= h_{w''} \cdot \left\{ (v_b, z_c) \mid b^{-1}c = a, (v, z) \in \bigcup_{x \in C_a} (L_a + x) \times (L_{a^{-1}} + x) \right\} = h_{w''} \cdot t_a.
\end{aligned}$$

Claim 4: $t_a^* = t_{a^{-1}}$ for each $a \in G_\delta^\times$.

By the definition of t_a, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
t_a^* &= \left\{ (v_c, u_b) \mid b^{-1}c = a, (u, v) \in \bigcup_{x \in C_a} (L_a + x) \times (L_{a^{-1}} + x) \right\} \\
&= \left\{ (v_c, u_b) \mid c^{-1}b = a^{-1}, (v, u) \in \bigcup_{x \in C_a} (L_{a^{-1}} + x) \times (L_a + x) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $C_a = C_{a^{-1}}$, we have $t_a^* = t_{a^{-1}}$.

Claim 5: $A_{t_a} A_{t_{a^{-1}}} = p \sum_{w \in L_a} A_{h_w}$ for each $a \in G_\delta^\times$.

Since $t_a^* = t_{a^{-1}}$, we have

$$t_a \cdot t_{a^{-1}} = \left\{ (u_b, w_b) \mid b \in G_\delta, (u, w) \in \bigcup_{x \in C_a} (L_a + x) \times (L_a + x) \right\}.$$

Thus, $t_a \cdot t_{a^{-1}} = \bigcup_{w \in L_a} h_w$. For each $(u_b, w_b) \in \bigcup_{w \in L_a} h_w$, we have

$$u_b t_a \cap w_b t_a = \{v_{ba} \mid v \in L_a^{-1} + x\}$$

for some $x \in C_a$. This completes the proof of Claim 5.

Claim 6: $A_{t_a} A_{t_d} = \sum_{w \in L_{ad}} A_{t_{ad} \cdot h_w}$ if $a^{-1} \neq d$ for $a, d \in G_\delta^\times$.

Put $t_d = \big\{(v_c, w_e) \mid c^{-1}e = d, (v, w) \in \bigcup_{x \in C_d} (L_d + x) \times (L_{d^{-1}} + x)\big\}$. Clearly, $t_a \cdot t_d \subseteq t_{ad} \cdot \widetilde{L}_{ad}$. For each $(u_b, w_e) \in t_{ad} \cdot \widetilde{L}_{ad}$, we have $|u_b t_a \cap w_e t_d^*| = 1$, since $|(L_{a^{-1}} + x) \cap (L_d + y)| = 1$ for each $x \in C_a, y \in C_d$.

The above claims complete the proof. □

Now we show that there exists a unique association scheme such that (1) and (2) hold and $\delta(S) = 3$, up to isomorphism.

Theorem 3.3. *Let S_i be an association scheme on X_i $(i = 1, 2)$ such that* (1) *and* (2) *hold and $\delta(S) = 3$. Then S_1 is isomorphic to S_2.*

Proof. For each $s_k \in S_k \setminus \mathbf{O}^\theta(S_k)$ $(k = 1, 2)$, it follows from showing $t \in s_k^* s_k \Leftrightarrow s_k t = s_k$ that the set $s_k^* s_k$ is a subgroup of $\mathbf{O}^\theta(S_k)$ (see [4, Lemma 4.2]). Since $\{s_k^* s_k \mid s_k \in S_k \setminus \mathbf{O}^\theta(S_k)\}$ has exactly two elements as the subgroups $\mathbf{O}^\theta(S_k)$, we denote them by $\langle u_k \rangle$ and $\langle v_k \rangle$, respectively. Note that there exists a unique subgroup $\langle c_k \rangle$ such that $c_k s_k = s_k c_k$ for each $s_k \in S_k$.

First of all, we choose $s_k, t_k \in S_k \setminus \mathbf{O}^\theta(S_k)$ such that

$$s_k^* s_k = \langle u_k \rangle,\ t_k^* t_k = \langle v_k \rangle \leq \mathbf{O}^\theta(S_k) \text{ and } s_k^* = t_k.$$

We denote by $X_{k,l}$ an element of $X_k / \mathbf{O}^\theta(S_k)$ $(l = 1, 2, 3)$. Since $s_k^* \in s_k^2$, we have $1_{X_k} \in s_k^3$. So, we can fix

$$x_l \in X_{1,l},\ y_l \in X_{2,l}\ (l = 1, 2, 3) \text{ such that}$$

$$x_2 \in x_1 s_1,\ x_3 \in x_2 s_1,\ x_1 \in x_3 s_1 \text{ and } y_2 \in y_1 s_2,\ y_3 \in y_2 s_2,\ y_1 \in y_3 s_2.$$

It is known that the automorphism group of $C_p \times C_p$ is isomorphic to $GL_2(p)$. Note that $PGL_2(p)$ is sharply 3-transitive of degree $p + 1$ (see [2]). Thus, there exists an group isomorphism Φ from $\mathbf{O}^\theta(S_1)$ to $\mathbf{O}^\theta(S_2)$ such that $\Phi(\langle u_1 \rangle) = \langle u_2 \rangle$, $\Phi(\langle v_1 \rangle) = \langle v_2 \rangle$ and $\Phi(\langle c_1 \rangle) = \langle c_2 \rangle$.

By replacing the generators if necessary, without loss of generality, we assume $\Phi(u_1) = u_2$, $\Phi(v_1) = v_2$ and $\Phi(c_1) = c_2$.

Define a bijective map ϕ from $X_1 \cup S_1$ to $X_2 \cup S_2$ as follows:

$$X_{1,l} \to X_{2,l} \text{ by } x_l u_1^i v_1^j \mapsto y_l u_2^i v_2^j\ (l = 1, 2, 3);$$

$$\mathbf{O}^\theta(S_1) \to \mathbf{O}^\theta(S_2) \text{ by } u_1^i v_1^j \mapsto u_2^i v_2^j;$$

$$S_1 \setminus \mathbf{O}^\theta(S_1) \to S_2 \setminus \mathbf{O}^\theta(S_2) \text{ by } s_1 v_1^j \mapsto s_2 v_2^j, u_1^j t_1 \mapsto u_2^j t_2.$$

Now we check that ϕ is an isomorphism. For all $\alpha, \beta \in X_1$ and $h \in S_1$ with $(\alpha, \beta) \in h$, it suffices to show $(\phi(\alpha), \phi(\beta)) \in \phi(h)$. First of all, we divide our consideration into two cases, i.e., $h \in \mathbf{O}^\theta(S_1)$ and $h \in S_1 \setminus \mathbf{O}^\theta(S_1)$.

In the case of $h \in \mathbf{O}^\theta(S_1)$, without loss of generality, we assume that $(\alpha, \beta) \in h \cap (X_{1,1} \times X_{1,1})$. Then

$$(x_1, \alpha) \in h_1 \text{ and } (x_1, \beta) \in h_2$$

for some $h_1, h_2 \in \mathbf{O}^\theta(S_1)$. This means $(\alpha, \beta) \in h_1^* h_2 = h$. Since $(y_1, \phi(\alpha)) \in \phi(h_1)$ and $(y_1, \phi(\beta)) \in \phi(h_2)$, we have

$$(\phi(\alpha), \phi(\beta)) \in \phi(h_1)^* \phi(h_2) = \phi(h_1^* h_2) = \phi(h).$$

In the case of $h \in S_1 \backslash \mathbf{O}^\theta(S_1)$, first of all, we assume that $(\alpha, \beta) \in h \cap (X_{1,1} \times X_{1,2})$. Then

$$(x_1, x_2) \in s_1, (x_1, \alpha) \in u_1^i v_1^j \text{ and } (x_1, \beta) \in u_1^l v_1^m$$

for some $0 \leq i, j, l, m \leq p-1$. This means $(\alpha, \beta) \in u_1^{p-i} v_1^{p-j} s_1 u_1^l v_1^m$. Note that $s_k s_k^* s_k = s_k$ and $t_k^* t_k s_k = s_k$ $(k = 1, 2)$. So, we have

$$u_1^{p-i} v_1^{p-j} s_1 u_1^l v_1^m = u_1^{p-i} s_1 v_1^m = s_1 v_1^{m+e}$$

for some e. Since $(y_1, y_2) \in s_2$, $(y_1, \phi(\alpha)) \in u_2^i v_2^j$ and $(y_1, \phi(\beta)) \in u_2^l v_2^m$, we have

$$(\phi(\alpha), \phi(\beta)) \in u_2^{p-i} v_2^{p-j} s_2 u_2^l v_2^m = u_2^{p-i} s_2 v_2^m.$$

The assumption that $\Phi(u_1) = u_2$, $\Phi(v_1) = v_2$ and $\Phi(c_1) = c_2$ implies $u_2^{p-i} s_2 v_2^m = s_2 v_2^{m+e}$. Since $\phi(s_1 v_1^{m+e}) = s_2 v_2^{m+e}$, we have $(\phi(\alpha), \phi(\beta)) \in \phi(h)$.

We also assume that $(\alpha, \beta) \in h \cap (X_{1,2} \times X_{1,3})$ or $(\alpha, \beta) \in h \cap (X_{1,3} \times X_{1,1})$. By the same argument, we have $(\phi(\alpha), \phi(\beta)) \in \phi(h)$.

The symmetry of s_k and t_k completes the proof. □

Theorem 3.4. *Let S be an association scheme on X such that* (1)*,* (2) *and* (7) *hold and $\delta(S) = p + 2$ is an odd prime. Then it is non-schurian.*

Proof. Suppose that S is schurian. For convenience, we denote $\mathrm{Aut}(S)$ by G.

Claim 1: The order of G is $p^3(p+2)$.

By the orbit-stabilizer property (see [2, page 8]), we have $|G| = |G_\alpha||X|$ for a fixed $\alpha \in X$. Since $|X| = p^2(p+2)$, it suffices to verify $|G_\alpha| = p$.

Let r_1 is an element of $S \setminus \mathbf{O}_\theta(S)$. We fix an element β of X such that $\beta \in \alpha r_1$. Under the assumption that (X, S) is schurian, we have

$$|G_\alpha| = |G_{\alpha,\beta}||\alpha r_1| = |G_{\alpha,\beta}|p$$

by the orbit-stabilizer property. We shall show $|G_{\alpha,\beta}| = 1$.

Let γ be an element of αr_1 such that $\gamma \in \beta t$ for some $t \in R(r_1) \setminus \{1_X\}$. $G_{\alpha,\beta}$ fixes γ since $c_{r_1 t}^{r_1} = 1$. Thus, $G_{\alpha,\beta}$ fixes each element of αr_1.

We consider an arbitrary element $r_2 \in S \setminus \mathbf{O}_\theta(S)$ such that $r_1 \neq r_2$. For $\delta \in \alpha r_2$, we assume that there exists $g \in G_{\alpha,\beta}$ such that $\delta^g \neq \delta$. Then there exist $s_1, s_2 \in S$ such that $(\beta, \delta), (\beta, \delta^g) \in s_1$ and $(\gamma, \delta), (\gamma, \delta^g) \in s_2$. This means $c_{s_1 s_2^*}^t \geq 2$ and $c_{r_2 s_1^*}^{r_1} \geq 2$.

We divide our consideration into the following cases.

(i) If $r_1 \mathbf{O}^\theta(S) = r_2 \mathbf{O}^\theta(S)$, then $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbf{O}_\theta(S)$. This contradicts $c_{s_1 s_2^*}^t = 1$. Thus, $G_{\alpha,\beta}$ fixes each element of αr_2. This implies that $G_{\alpha,\beta}$ fixes each element of αs with $r_1 \mathbf{O}^\theta(S) = s \mathbf{O}^\theta(S)$.

(ii) If $r_1 \mathbf{O}^\theta(S) \neq r_2 \mathbf{O}^\theta(S)$, then $s_1, s_2 \in S \setminus \mathbf{O}_\theta(S)$. This contradicts $c_{r_2 s_1^*}^{r_1} = 1$.

Hence, we have $|G_{\alpha,\beta}| = 1$.

Claim 2: The center $Z(G)$ is not trivial.

Let P and Q be a Sylow p-subgroup and a Sylow $(p+2)$-subgroup of G, respectively. Since $p+2$ is prime, we have $P \trianglelefteq G$ by the Sylow theorem (the number $n_p(G)$ of Sylow p-subgroups of G is a divisor of $|G|$, and $n_p(G) \equiv 1 \pmod p$).

If P is non-abelian, then $|Z(P)| = p$. Since $Z(P)$ is characteristic in P, we have $Z(P) \trianglelefteq G$. By N/C theorem (see [5, page 41]),

$$G/C_G(Z(P)) = N_G(Z(P))/C_G(Z(P)) \simeq \text{ a subgroup of } \mathrm{Aut}(Z(P)).$$

Since $\mathrm{Aut}(Z(P))$ is cyclic of order $p-1$, we have $|G/C_G(Z(P))| = 1$ and hence $Z(P) \leq Z(G)$.

If P is abelian, then, by N/C theorem,

$$G/C_G(P) = N_G(P)/C_G(P) \simeq \text{ a subgroup of } \mathrm{Aut}(P).$$

Since $|\mathrm{Aut}(P)|$ is not divided by $p+2$, we have $|G/C_G(P)| = 1$ and hence $P = Z(G)$.

Claim 3: There exists a thin closed subset $C(S)$ such that $n_{C(S)} = p$ and $cs = sc$ for all $s \in S, c \in C(S)$.

By Claim 2, there exists $z \in Z(G)$ such that $\alpha \neq \alpha^z$ for some $\alpha \in X$. Since $zg = gz$ for each $g \in G_\alpha$, we have $(\alpha^z)^{G_\alpha} = \alpha^z$. This implies that $r(\alpha, \alpha^z)$ is a thin element of S.

Since G is transitive on X, each element s of S can be represented by $r(\alpha, \alpha^g)$ for some $g \in G$. Since $r(\alpha, \alpha^z)$ is thin, $r(\alpha, \alpha^z)r(\alpha, \alpha^g)$ and $r(\alpha, \alpha^g)r(\alpha, \alpha^z)$ are singletons. We check the followings:

(i) $r(\alpha, \alpha^z)r(\alpha, \alpha^g) = r(\alpha, \alpha^z)r(\alpha^z, \alpha^{gz}) = r(\alpha, \alpha^{gz})$;
(ii) $r(\alpha, \alpha^g)r(\alpha, \alpha^z) = r(\alpha, \alpha^g)r(\alpha^g, \alpha^{zg}) = r(\alpha, \alpha^{zg})$.

Since $zg = gz$, we have $r(\alpha, \alpha^{gz}) = r(\alpha, \alpha^{zg})$.

On the other hand, since $\delta(S) = p + 2$, $\{ss^* \mid s \in S \setminus \mathbf{O}^\theta(S)\}$ consists of $p + 1$ nontrivial subgroups of $\mathbf{O}^\theta(S)$. So, there exists an element $s \in S$ such that $cs = s$ and $sc \neq s$ for all $c \in C(S)$. This contradicts Claim 3. □

By a parallel argument of [6, Section 4], we can construt a non-schurian association scheme which is algebraically isomorphic to a given schurian association scheme.

Proposition 3.5. *Suppose that S is a schurian association scheme satisfying* (1), (2), (7) *and $S//\mathbf{O}^\theta(S) \cong C_n$ for some $4 \leq n \leq p + 1$. Then there exists a non-schurian association scheme with the same intersection numbers as S.*

Remark 3.1. We omit the proof of Proposition 3.5 and mention the following. In [6, Section 4], it is considered a schurian association scheme satisfying (1), (2), (7) and $\delta(S) = p \geq 5$. To follow the idea of [6, Section 4], it suffices to consider the condition that $S//\mathbf{O}^\theta(S)$ is cyclic, and the proof of [6, Theorem 1.2] uses the fact that $\delta(S)$ is at least 4.

Theorem 3.6. *Let p be an odd prime. Then for each $4 \leq n \leq p + 2$, there exists a non-schurian association scheme satisfying* (1), (2), (7) *and $\delta(S) = n$.*

Proof. For each $4 \leq n \leq p + 2$, it follows from Theorem 3.2 that there exists an association scheme S satisfying the above conditions.

When $n = p + 2$, we are done by Theorem 3.4.

When $4 \leq n \leq p + 1$, if S is non-schurian, then we are done. If not, then we construct a non-schurian association scheme by Proposition 3.5. □

4. The cases of $\delta(S) = p^2$ and $\delta(S) = p^2 + p + 1$

For the schurian scheme $\mathcal{R}_G$ given in Section 1, there is a one-to-one correspondence between X and the set G/G_x of right cosets of a point stabilizer G_x. Also there is a one-to-one correspondence between $\mathcal{R}_G$ and the set of double cosets of G_x in G.

In the following subsections, for a given group G and its subgroup H, we consider the action on the right cosets G/H by the right multiplication.

4.1. $\delta(S) = p^2$**.** Let $P := \{A(a,b) \mid a,b \in \mathbb{F}_p\}$, where $A(a,b)$ is a 3×3 matrix defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{14}$$

Define a subgroup of $\mathrm{AGL}_3(\mathbb{F}_p)$ as follows:

$$G := \{t_{A,x} \mid A \in P, x \in \mathbb{F}_p^3\},$$

where $t_{A,x} : y \mapsto yA + x$ is a mapping from $\mathbb{F}_p^3$ to $\mathbb{F}_p^3$.

Put $H := \{t_{I,x} \mid x \in \{(c,0,0) \mid c \in \mathbb{F}_p\}\}$. We show that the action of G on $G/H \times G/H$ induces an association scheme of order p^4 such that (1) and (2) hold.

Claim 1: $G \trianglerighteq N_G(H) = \{t_{I,x} \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_p^3\}$.

First of all, we verify $N_G(H) = \{t_{I,x} \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_p^3\}$. Since $t_{A,z}^{-1} : y \mapsto yA^{-1} - zA^{-1}$, we have $t_{A,z}t_{I,x}t_{A,z}^{-1} : y \mapsto y + xA$. For $t_{I,x} \in H, t_{A,z} \in G$, we have

$$t_{A,z}t_{I,x}t_{A,z}^{-1} \in H \text{ if and only if } xA = (a', a'a, a'b) \in \{(c,0,0) \mid c \in \mathbb{F}_p\},$$

where $x = (a',0,0)$ and A has the form of (14). Thus, $t_{A,z} \in N_G(H)$ if and only if $a = b = 0$. It is easy to see that $G \trianglerighteq N_G(H)$.

Claim 2: For each $t_{B,z} \in G \setminus N_G(H)$, $Ht_{B,z}H$ is the union of p right cosets in G/H.

First of all, we take an element $t_{I,x_1}t_{B,z}t_{I,x_2}$ in $Ht_{B,z}H$, where $x_1 = (a_1,0,0)$ and $x_2 = (a_2,0,0)$. Since $t_{I,x_1}t_{B,z}t_{I,x_2} : y \mapsto ((yI+x_2)B+z)I+x_1 = yB+x_2B+z+x_1$, this implies

$$t_{I,x_1}t_{B,z}t_{I,x_2} \in H\{t_{B,w} \mid w \in \{x_2B + z \mid x_2 = (a_2,0,0), a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_p\}\}.$$

Thus, we have

$$Ht_{B,z}H = H\{t_{B,w} \mid w \in \{x_2B + z \mid x_2 = (a_2,0,0), a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_p\}\}.$$

Claim 3: The right stabilizer $St_R(t_{B,z})$ of $Ht_{B,z}H$ is $H\{t_{I,x} \mid x \in \langle(\delta,0,0)B^{-1}\rangle\}$ for some $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$.

For $t_{I,x_1}t_{B,z}t_{I,x_2} \in Ht_{B,z}H$, $t_{A,z_1} \in G$, since $t_{I,x_1}t_{B,z}t_{I,x_2}t_{A,z_1} : y \mapsto yAB + (z_1 + x_2)B + z + x_1$, we have

$$t_{I,x_1}t_{B,z}t_{I,x_2}t_{A,z_1} \in Ht_{B,z}H$$
$$\text{if and only if}$$
$$A = I \text{ and } z_1 \in \{(\delta,0,0)B^{-1} + (c,0,0) \mid c \in \mathbb{F}_p\} \text{ for some } \delta \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times.$$

From Claim 1, we have that $N_G(H)/H \simeq C_p \times C_p$ and the action of G on $G/N_G(H) \times G/N_G(H)$ induces a thin association scheme. From Claim 3, we have that $St_R(t_{B,z}) \cup St_R(t_{B^{-1},z})$ generates $N_G(H)$. So, (1) holds. It follows from Claim 2 that (2) holds.

4.2. $\delta(S) = p^2 + p + 1$**.** Let K be a subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_{p^3}^\times$ with $|K| = p^2 + p + 1$, where p is an odd prime.

Define

$$G := \{t_{a,b} \mid a \in K, b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^3}\},$$

where $t_{a,b} : y \mapsto ay + b$ is a mapping from $\mathbb{F}_{p^3}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{p^3}$.

Put $H := \{t_{1,c} \mid c \in \mathbb{F}_p\}$. Note that $N_G(H) \cap \{t_{a,0} \mid a \in K\} = \{t_{1,0}\}$ since $|\mathbb{F}_p^\times|$ does not divide $|K|$. We show that the action of G on $G/H \times G/H$ induces an association scheme of order $p^2(p^2 + p + 1)$ such that (1) and (2) hold.

Claim 1: $G \trianglerighteq N_G(H) = \{t_{1,d} \mid d \in \mathbb{F}_{p^3}\}$.

First of all, we verify $N_G(H) = \{t_{1,d} \mid d \in \mathbb{F}_{p^3}\}$. Since $t_{a,b}^{-1} : y \mapsto a^{-1}y - a^{-1}b$, we have $t_{a,b}t_{1,c}t_{a,b}^{-1} : y \mapsto y + ac$. For $t_{1,c} \in H, t_{a,b} \in G$, we have

$$t_{a,b}t_{1,c}t_{a,b}^{-1} \in H \text{ if and only if } a = 1.$$

This implies that $N_G(H) = \{t_{1,d} \mid d \in \mathbb{F}_{p^3}\}$. It is easy to see that $G \trianglerighteq N_G(H)$.

Claim 2: For each $t_{a,b} \in G \setminus N_G(H)$, $Ht_{a,b}H$ is the union of p right cosets in G/H.

First of all, we take an element $t_{1,c_1}t_{a,b}t_{1,c_2}$ in $Ht_{a,b}H$. Since $t_{1,c_1}t_{a,b}t_{1,c_2} : y \mapsto a(y + c_2) + b + c_1 = ay + ac_2 + b + c_1$, this implies $t_{1,c_1}t_{a,b}t_{1,c_2} \in H\{t_{a,z} \mid z \in \{ac_2 + b \mid c_2 \in \mathbb{F}_p\}\}$. Thus, we have $Ht_{a,b}H = H\{t_{a,z} \mid z \in \{ac_2 + b \mid c_2 \in \mathbb{F}_p\}\}$.

Claim 3: The right stabilizer $St_R(t_{a,b})$ of $Ht_{a,b}H$ is $H\{t_{1,c} \mid c \in a^{-1}\mathbb{F}_p\}$.

For $t_{1,c_1}t_{a,b}t_{1,c_2} \in Ht_{a,b}H$, $t_{d,e} \in G$, since $t_{1,c_1}t_{a,b}t_{1,c_2}t_{d,e} : y \mapsto ady + a(e + c_2) + b + c_1$, we have

$$t_{1,c_1}t_{a,b}t_{1,c_2}t_{d,e} \in Ht_{a,b}H \text{ if and only if } d = 1 \text{ and } e \in a^{-1}\mathbb{F}_p + \mathbb{F}_p.$$

From Claim 1 and 3, we have that $N_G(H)/H \simeq C_p \times C_p$ and the action of G on $G/N_G(H) \times G/N_G(H)$ induces a thin association scheme. From Claim 3, we have that $St_R(t_{a,b}) \cup St_R(t_{a^{-1},b})$ generates $N_G(H)$. So, (1) holds. It follows from Claim 2 that (2) holds.

5. Concluding Remarks

In [7, Section 4], it was shown that any reduced Klein configuration defines an incidence structure. By a parallel argument, it was obtained a partial linear space over a scheme under certain assumption (see [1]).

In geometric terms, a *partial linear space* is a pair $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$, where $\mathcal{P}$ is a set of points and $\mathcal{L}$ is a family of subsets of $\mathcal{P}$, called *lines* such that every pair of points is contained in at most one line and every line contains at least two points. Moreover, $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ is called a *linear space* if every pair of points is contained in exactly one line.

Let S be an association scheme on X with $T := \mathbf{O}^\theta(S) \cong C_p \times C_p$. Let $\{X_i \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ denote the set of cosets of T in S. Then we define

$$L(s) := \{t \in T \mid ts = s\}.$$

For given i, j and $s \in S$ with $s \cap (X_i \times X_j) \neq \emptyset$, we define $L_{ij}(s) := L(s)$. Since $L_{ij}(s) = L_{ij}(s')$ for $s' \in TsT$, we write L_{ij} for $L_{ij}(s)$.

Define an incidence structure $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ as follows:

(i) $\mathcal{P} := \{i \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, m\}$;
(ii) $\mathcal{L} := \{L_i(M) \mid \{1_X\} < M < T, |L_i(M)| \neq 1, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$, where $L_i(M) := \{i\} \cup \{j \mid L_{ij} = M\}$.

An *automorphism* τ of $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ is a permutation of $\mathcal{P}$ such that $\mathcal{L}^\tau = \mathcal{L}$. We denote by $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ the set of automorphisms of $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$.

Then $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ is a partial linear space in which any point belongs to at most $p+1$ lines and $\mathrm{Aut}(S//T)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ (see [1]).

Theorem 5.1. *Let $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ be a linear space. Suppose that $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ has a regular subgroup G on $\mathcal{P}$ and $|\{l \in \mathcal{L} \mid x \in l\}| < p + 1$, where $x \in \mathcal{P}$. Then the linear space $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ gives a construction of an association scheme S such that* (1) *and* (2) *hold and*

(i) $S//\mathbf{O}^\theta(S) \simeq G$,

(ii) *the incidence structure arising from S is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$.*

Proof. We identify $\mathcal{P}$ with G. In particular, we assume that x is the identity of $\mathcal{P}$. For a fixed x, we define $N = \{l \in \mathcal{L} \mid x \in l\}$. Set $V := \mathbb{F}_p^2$, $X := V \times \mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}^\times := \mathcal{P} \setminus \{x\}$. We denote by u_a an element (u, a) of X for short. We denote by $\{L_l \mid l \in N\}$ the set of $|N|$ distinct subgroups of order p in V. Fix a subgroup C of V such that $C \notin \{L_l \mid l \in N\}$.

Define binary relations on X as follows:

$$h_w = \{(u_a, v_a) \mid u - v = w, a \in \mathcal{P}\} \text{ for each } w \in V;$$

$$t_x = 1_X;$$

$$t_a = \left\{ (u_b, v_c) \mid b^{-1}c = a, (u, v) \in \bigcup_{y \in C} (L_l + y) \times (L_m + y) \right\} \text{ for each } a \in \mathcal{P}^\times,$$

where L_l and L_m are elements of $\{L_n \mid n \in N\}$ such that $a \in l$ and $m = \{da^{-1} \mid d \in l\}$.

Put

$$S := \{t_a \cdot h_w \mid w \in V, a \in \mathcal{P}\}.$$

Now we show that S is an association scheme on X. Clearly, $1_X \in S$ and $h_w^* = h_{-w}$ for each $w \in V$. It follows from the same argument of Claim 1 and 2 of Theorem 3.2 that S is a partition of $X \times X$.

Claim 1: $t_a^* = t_{a^{-1}}$ for each $a \in \mathcal{P}^\times$.

By the definition of t_a, we have

$$\begin{aligned} t_a^* &= \left\{ (v_c, u_b) \mid b^{-1}c = a, (u, v) \in \bigcup_{y \in C} (L_l + y) \times (L_m + y) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (v_c, u_b) \mid c^{-1}b = a^{-1}, (v, u) \in \bigcup_{y \in C} (L_m + y) \times (L_l + y) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $l = \{ea \mid e \in m\}$, we have $t_a^* = t_{a^{-1}}$.

Claim 2: $A_{t_a} A_{t_{a^{-1}}} = p \sum_{w \in L_l} A_{h_w}$ for each $a \in \mathcal{P}^\times$, where $a \in l$.

Since $t_a^* = t_{a^{-1}}$, we have

$$t_a \cdot t_{a^{-1}} = \left\{ (u_b, w_b) \mid b \in F_q, (u, w) \in \bigcup_{y \in C} (L_l + y) \times (L_l + y) \right\}.$$

Thus, $t_a \cdot t_{a^{-1}} = \bigcup_{w \in L_l} h_w$. For $(u_b, w_b) \in \bigcup_{w \in L_l} h_w$, we have

$$u_b t_a \cap w_b t_a = \{v_{ba} \mid v \in L_m + y\}$$

for some $y \in C$, where $m = \{da^{-1} \mid d \in l\}$. This completes the proof of Claim 2.

Claim 3: $A_{t_a} A_{t_d} = p A_{t_{ad}}$ if $l_1 = l_2$ for $a^{-1} \in l_1, d \in l_2$.

Put $t_a = \{(u_b, v_c) \mid b^{-1}c = a, (u, v) \in \bigcup_{y \in C} (L_l + y) \times (L_{l_1} + y)\}$ and $t_d = \{(u'_c, v'_e) \mid c^{-1}e = d, (u', v') \in \bigcup_{y \in C} (L_{l_2} + y) \times (L_m + y)\}$. Since $l_1 = l_2$, we have

$$t_a \cdot t_d = \left\{ (u_b, w_e) \mid b^{-1}e = ad, (u, w) \in \bigcup_{y \in C} (L_l + y) \times (L_m + y) \right\}.$$

Claim 4: $A_{t_a} A_{t_d} = \sum_{w \in L_l} A_{t_{ad} \cdot h_w}$ if $l_1 \neq l_2$ for $a^{-1} \in l_1, d \in l_2$, where $ad \in l \in N$.

Put $t_a = \{(u_b, v_c) \mid b^{-1}c = a, (u, v) \in \bigcup_{y\in C}(L_l + y) \times (L_{l_1} + y)\}$ and $t_d = \{(u'_c, v'_e) \mid c^{-1}e = d, (u', v') \in \bigcup_{y\in C}(L_{l_2} + y) \times (L_m + y)\}$. Clearly, $t_a \cdot t_d \subseteq t_{ad} \cdot \widetilde{L}_f$, where $ad \in f \in N$. For each $(u_b, w_e) \in t_{ad} \cdot \widetilde{L}_m$, we have $|u_b t_a \cap w_e t_d^*| = 1$, since $|(L_{l_1} + y) \cap (L_{l_2} + y)| = 1$ for $y \in C$.

For $t_a \cdot h_w, t_b \cdot h_v \in S$, we have $(t_a \cdot h_w) \cdot (t_b \cdot h_v) = (h_u \cdot t_a) \cdot (t_b \cdot h_v)$ for some $u \in V$. The above claims complete the proof. □

When $\mathbf{O}^\theta(S) \cong C_2 \times C_2$, based on the computational result of [3] we observe the existence of schemes with respect to $\delta(S)$. In Table 1, the symbols E and N mean the existence and non-existence, respectively.

$\delta(S)$	3	4	5	6	7
$\lvert X\rvert$	12	16	20	24	28
(X, S)	E	E	N	N	E

TABLE 1. The case of $\mathbf{O}^\theta(S) \cong C_2 \times C_2$

- It follows from Theorem 3.3 that the scheme corresponding to the case of $\delta(S) = 3$ of Table 1 is `as-12.no.49` in [3].

When $\mathbf{O}^\theta(S) \cong C_3 \times C_3$, based on the computational result of [3] we observe the existence of schemes with respect to $\delta(S)$. In Table 2, the symbol ? means that the existence is not yet determined.

$\delta(S)$	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
$\lvert X\rvert$	27	36	45	54	63	72	81	90	99	108	117
(X, S)	E	E	E	?	?	?	E	?	?	?	E

TABLE 2. The case of $\mathbf{O}^\theta(S) \cong C_3 \times C_3$

- It follows from Theorem 3.4 that the non-schurian scheme corresponding to the case of $\delta(S) = 5$ of Table 1 is `as-45.class-20.no.5` in [3].
- In the cases of $\delta(S) = 9, 13$, Section 4 guarantees the existence of such schemes.

Finally, we close our article by giving further work.

- For $\delta(S) \geq p+3$, we need to consider the existence of association schemes. Based on Theorem 5.1 we guess that there is a connection between linear spaces and association schemes.
- In the case of $\delta(S) = p^2$ or $\delta(S) = p^2 + p + 1$, we gave a construction of schurian association schemes. It seem to be an important problem to find out a method which is analogous to Proposition 3.5.

Acknowledgement
The authors would like to thank anonymous referees for their valuable comments.

References

[1] J.R. Cho, M. Hirasaka, K. Kim, On p-schemes of order p^3, J. Algebra 369 (2012) 369–380.

[2] J.D. Dixon, B. Mortimer, Permutation Groups, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 163, Springer, 1996.

[3] A. Hanaki, I. Miyamoto, Classification of association schemes of small order, Online catalogue. http://kissme.shinshu-u.ac.jp/as.

[4] M. Hirasaka, On meta-thin association schemes, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 34 (2005) 187-201.

[5] I.M. Isaacs, Algebra (A Graduate Course), Brooks/Cole Publishing Company, 1994.

[6] K. Kim, Characterization of p-valenced schemes of order p^2q, J. Algebra 379 (2013) 230–240.

[7] M. Muzychuk, I. Ponomarenko, On quasi-thin association schemes, J. Algebra 351 (2012) 467–489.

[8] P.-H. Zieschang, Association schemes in which the thin residue is a finite cyclic group, J. Algebra 324 (2010) 3572–3578.

[9] ———, An Algebraic Approach to Association Schemes, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1628, Springer, Berlin, 1996.

[10] ———, Theory of Association Schemes, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, 2005.

Department of Mathematics, Pusan National University, Busan 609-735, Republic of Korea

E-mail address: hirasaka@pusan.ac.kr

Department of Mathematics, Pusan National University, Busan 609-735, Republic of Korea

E-mail address: knukkj@pusan.ac.kr